




Commonly applicable RFC KPIs
RFC Atlantic
June 2023

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Volume of offered capacity – PaPs (at X-11)



Volume of requested capacity – PaPs (at X-8)



**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*


CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Number of requests – PaPs (at X-8)
(number of PCS dossiers)



2023	for TT 2024	40
2022	for TT 2023	36
2021	for TT 2022	35

Number of conflicts – PaPs (at X-8)
(number of conflicting PCS dossiers)



2023	0	for TT 2024
2022	0	for TT 2023
2021	0	for TT 2022

**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Volume of pre-booked capacity – PaPs (at X-7.5)



2023	for TT 2024	4.8 mio (path) km
2022	for TT 2023	4.5 mio (path) km
2021	for TT 2022	4.5 mio (path) km

Ratio of pre-booked capacity (to the volume of capacity offered at x-11)





2023	for TT 2024	56.7%
2022	for TT 2023	55.5%
2021	for TT 2022	52.7%

**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Average planned speed of PaPs (calculation per O/D pairs, km/h)






**This KPI should be perceived as qualitative as journey times might include commercial and operational stops.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Volume of offered capacity – Reserve Capacity (at X-2)



TT 2023		1.5 mio (path) km
TT 2022		1.1 mio (path) km
TT 2021		0.6 mio (path) km

**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

**Number of requests –
Reserve Capacity (at X+12)**
(number of PCS dossiers)



TT 2022	0
TT 2021	0
TT 2020	0

**Volume of requested capacity –
Reserve Capacity (at X+12)**




TT 2022	0 (path) km
TT 2021	0 (path) km
TT 2020	0 (path) km

**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

OPERATIONS


Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)



(delay ≤ 30 minutes)



Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)




(delay ≤ 30 minutes)



OPERATIONS


Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)



(delay \leq 15 minutes)



Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)




(delay \leq 15 minutes)



OPERATIONS

Number of trains crossing a border along the RFC*




*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation.

MARKET DEVELOPMENT

Number of trains per border*

	2020	2021	2022
Total DE - FR:	8,857	9,759	10,919
Hendaye:	2,713	1,812	1,187
Irún:	2,732	2,465	1,135
Total ES - PT:	2,652	2,468	2,393



*The calculation of this KPI is based mainly on data in IMs' systems. The total sum of the figures per border does not correspond to the figure of the KPI 'Overall number of trains on the RFC' due to, among other reasons, the potential double-counting of trains crossing more than one border.

MARKET DEVELOPMENT

Relation between the capacity allocated by the C-OSS and the total allocated capacity*

Location Code	Between member states		Between operational points		Allocated by C-OSS 2020	Allocated by C-OSS 2021	Allocated by C-OSS 2022
EU00012	France	Germany	Forbach	Saarbrücken	N/A	N/A	N/A
EU00119	France	Spain	Hendaye	Irún	N/A	N/A	N/A
EU00124	Portugal	Spain	Vilar Formoso	Fuente de Oñoro	N/A	N/A	N/A
EU00125	Portugal	Spain	Elvas	Badajoz	N/A	N/A	N/A

*In case of border points with more than one C-OSS responsible (in case of common offer or in case of overlapping sections), the KPI figure presents the combined number of all C-OSSs concerned.

Disclaimer

- » The KPIs reflect the performance of each individual RFC, therefore, when comparing the figures of various RFCs, the specificities of each one have to be considered. Each RFC may apply any additional KPIs, which are published in their annual reports on their websites and/or in the [Customer Information Platform](#) (CIP), where applicable.
- » Please refer to the annual reports of individual RFCs for comprehensive information concerning the figures and their analysis. In addition, you can find the description of each commonly applicable KPI in the RNE '[Guidelines for Key Performance Indicators of Rail Freight Corridors](#)'.