



# RailNetEurope

Commonly applicable RFC KPIs RFC North Sea-Mediterranean December 2024



Number of requests – PaPs (at X-8) (number of PCS dossiers)

Number of conflicts – PaPs (at X-8) (number of conflicting PCS dossiers)



2024	for TT 2025	206	2024	<b>8</b> for TT 2025
2023	for TT 2024	187	2023	<b>4</b> for TT 2023
2022	for TT 2023	147	2022	0 for TT 2023

\*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.



Volume of pre-booked capacity – PaPs (at X-7.5)

**Ratio of pre-booked capacity** (to the volume of capacity offered at x-11)





2024	for TT 2025	14 mio (path) km	2024	for TT 2025	44.4%
2023	for TT 2024	13.7 mio (path) km	2023	for TT 2024	52.7%
2022	for TT 2023	11.1 mio (path) km	2022	for TT 2023	41.6%

\*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.



# Average planned speed of PaPs (calculation per O/D pairs, km/h)









\*This KPI should be perceived as qualitative as journey times might include commercial and operational stops.

Volume of offered capacity – Reserve Capacity (at X-2)



TT 2025	4.3 mio (path) km
TT 2024	3.97 mio (path) km
TT 2023	3.96 mio (path) km

\*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.



Number of requests – Reserve Capacity (at X+12) (number of PCS dossiers)



3

 $\mathbf{0}$ 

4

**TT 2024** 

TT 2023

TT 2022

Volume of requested capacity – Reserve Capacity (at X+12)



TT 2024	0.02 mio (path) km
TT 2023	0.00 mio (path) km
TT 2022	0.02 mio (path) km


\*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.










Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)





### **Punctuality at destination** (RFC exit)













Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)





#### **Punctuality at destination** (RFC exit)










#### Number of trains crossing a border along the RFC\*



2023:	30,560
2022:	32,671
2021:	25,194

\*The calculation of year 2022 & 2023 is based on data in IMs' system, except for the year 2021 is based on data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation.





# Dwell times in border sections (planned and clean/real) 2023

Вог	der	Avg. planned dwell (min.)	Avg. clean/real (min.)	
Mouscron	Mouscron Tourcoing		0	
Blandain Baisieux		4	5	
Erquelinnes Jeumont		0	0	
Aubange	Longwy	0	1	
Roosendaal	Essen	12	11	
Autelbas Kleinbettingen		3	2	

\*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.






# Dwell times in border sections (planned and clean/real) 2023

Во	rder	Avg. planned dwell (min.)	Avg. clean/real (min.)	
Aubange	Aubange Pétange		1	
Athus Pétange		5	1	
Pétange Mont-St-Martin		N/A	N/A	
Bettembourg	Thionville	33	28	
Pougny-Chancy	La Plaine	4	3	
Saint-Louis	Basel St. Johann	59	59	

\*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.





#### Train kilometers of trains per border 2023

\*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.





\*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in IMs' systems. The total sum of the figures per border does not correspond to the figure of the KPI 'Overall number of trains on the RFC' due to, among other reasons, the potential double-counting of trains crossing more than one border.



### Number of trains per border - Part 2\*

	2021	2022	2023	-6.1% Total GB - FR
Total GB - FR:	1,902	1,071	1,136	-0.1% Total CD - T K
Total FR - CH:	5,105	5,642	5,322	Total FR - CH -5.7%

\*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in IMs' systems. The total sum of the figures per border does not correspond to the figure of the KPI 'Overall number of trains on the RFC' due to, among other reasons, the potential double-counting of trains crossing more than one border.



# Ratio of capacity allocated by the C-OSS and the total allocated capacity\*

Location Code	Between member states		Between operational points		Allocated by C-OSS 2021	Allocated by C-OSS 2022	Allocated by C-OSS 2023
EU00084	Belgium	France	Mouscron	Tourcoing	57.0%	49.0%	55.0%
EU00085	Belgium	France	Blandain	Baisieux	0.0%	46.0%	67.0%
EU00087	Belgium	France	Erquelinnes	Jeumont	63.0%	45.0%	84.0%
			Quévy	Feignies	48.0%	65.0%	84.0%
EU00088	Belgium	France	Aubange	Longwy	100.0%	63.0%	86.0%
EU00090	Netherlands	Belgium	Roosendaal	Essen	35.0%	28.0%	60%
EU00094	Netherlands	Belgium	Sas van Gent	Zelzate	N/A	N/A	0.0
EU00096	Belgium	Luxembourg	Autelbas	Kleinbettingen	N/A	N/A	0.0
EU00097	Belgium	Luxembourg	Aubange	Pétange	100.0%	94.0%	89.0%
EU00098	Belgium	Luxembourg	Athus	Pétange	N/A	N/A	0.0%
EU00099	Luxembourg	France	Pétange	Mont-St-Martin	N/A	N/A	0.0%
EU00102	Luxembourg	France	Bettembourg	Thionville	82.0%	87.0%	100.0%
EU00130	France	Switzerland	Pougny-Chancy	La Plaine	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%
EU00138	France	Switzerland	Saint-Louis	Basel St. Johann	54.0%	64.0%	70.0%

\*In case of border points with more than one C-OSS responsible (in case of common offer or in case of overlapping sections), the KPI figure presents the combined number of all C-OSSs concerned.





# Disclaimer

- » The KPIs reflect the performance of each individual RFC, therefore, when comparing the figures of various RFCs, the specificities of each one have to be considered. Each RFC may apply any additional KPIs, which are published in their annual reports on their websites and/or in the <u>Customer Information Platform</u> (CIP), where applicable.
- » Please refer to the annual reports of individual RFCs for comprehensive information concerning the figures and their analysis. In addition, you can find the description of each commonly applicable KPI in the RNE '<u>Guidelines for Key Performance Indicators of Rail Freight Corridors</u>'.

