

Commonly applicable RFC KPIs
RFC Amber
MAY 2025

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Volume of offered capacity – PaPs (at X-11)



2025	for TT 2026	2.7 mio (path) km
2024	for TT 2025	3.9 mio (path) km
2023	for TT 2024	3.6 mio (path) km

Volume of requested capacity – PaPs (at X-8)



2025	0.3 mio (path) km	for TT 2026
2024	0.9 mio (path) km	for TT 2025
2023	0.6 mio (path) km	for TT 2024

**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*


CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Number of requests – PaPs (at X-8)
(number of PCS dossiers)



2025	5	for TT 2026
2024	10	for TT 2025
2023	10	for TT 2024

Number of conflicts – PaPs (at X-8)
(number of conflicting PCS dossiers)






2025	0	for TT 2026
2024	2	for TT 2025
2023	5	for TT 2024

**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT




Volume of pre-booked capacity – PaPs (at X-7.5)



2025		0.3 mio (path) km	for TT 2026
2024		0.9 mio (path) km	for TT 2025
2023		0.5 mio (path) km	for TT 2024

Ratio of pre-booked capacity (to the volume of capacity offered at x-11)





2025		11.2%	for TT 2026
2024		22.6%	for TT 2024
2023		13.3%	for TT 2024

**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Average planned speed of PaPs (calculation per O/D pairs, km/h)



**This KPI should be perceived as qualitative as journey times might include commercial and operational stops.
Figures in RED: PaP from Krakow Nowa Huta distance 210.6*

Disclaimer: For Operation and Market Development KPIs

- The calculation method changed in 2024, and the figures are not comparable with the previous years. A new train definition was used to calculate 2024 figures.

RFC Train Definition description: An RFC train is defined as a freight train that crosses at least one international border and operates on designated RFC network routes.

To be classified as an RFC train, it must meet the following conditions:

- Be a freight train;
- Cross at least one international border;
- Operate fully or partially on an RFC network section;
- If an already identified RFC train runs 300 km or more within the network of a different RFC without crossing its border, it is still classified as an RFC train of that corridor;
- Assignment Rules for Overlapping sections of RFC Corridors:

Trains on fully overlapped sections:


- All trains running on completely overlapped sections are assigned to all the corridors involved. However, the concerned RFCs may apply additional criteria to assign a train to a single corridor based on the specific situation.

Trains running partly in overlapped sections:

- If a train crosses one border along the RFC and runs at least one section exclusively within a single RFC, it is assigned to that RFC.
- If a train operates on an overlapping section, but there is at least one corridor that can also cover the previous or following non-overlapping section, the train will be assigned to that corridor(s) only.

OPERATIONS


Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)



(delay \leq 30 minutes)

2024:	<div></div>	30.0%
2023:	<div></div>	37.0 %
2022:	<div></div>	36.0 %

Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)




(delay \leq 30 minutes)




2024:	<div></div>	32.0%
2023:	<div></div>	26.0%
2022:	<div></div>	26.0 %

OPERATIONS


Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)



(delay \leq 15 minutes)

2024:		28.0%
2023:		35.0%
2022:		35.0%

Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)




(delay \leq 15 minutes)

2024:		30.0%
2023:		25.0%
2022:		24.0%

OPERATIONS


Train Kilometers (million) of trains per RFC*



*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.

OPERATIONS

Number of trains per RFC*




*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation.

MARKET DEVELOPMENT

Number of trains per border*

	2022	2023	2024
Total PL - SK:	1,972	1,337	2,025
Total SK - HU:	20,301	18,534	19,261
Total HU - SI:	6,297	6,544	7,104



*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in IMs' systems. The total sum of the figures per border does not correspond to the figure of the KPI 'Overall number of trains on the RFC' due to, among other reasons, the potential double-counting of trains crossing more than one border.

MARKET DEVELOPMENT

Ratio of capacity allocated by the C-OSS and the total allocated capacity*

Location Code	Between member states		Between operational points		Allocated by C-OSS 2022	Allocated by C-OSS 2023	Allocated by C-OSS 2024 (for TT2025)
EU00158	Poland	Slovakia	Zwardoń	Skalité	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00159	Poland	Slovakia	Muszyna	Plaveč	50.8%	58.6%	70.3%
EU00164	Slovakia	Hungary	Slovenské Nové Mesto	Sátorajauhely	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00165	Slovakia	Hungary	Čaňa	Hidasnémeti	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00170	Slovakia	Hungary	Štúrovo	Szob	28.6%	37.1%	43.0%
EU00171	Slovakia	Hungary	Komárno	Komárom	26.5%	28.3%	49,5%
EU00172	Slovakia	Hungary	Rusovce	Rajka	3.7%	22.6%	11.5%
EU00185	Slovenia	Hungary	Hodoš	Őriszentpéter	26.0%	65.0%	50.5%

*In case of border points with more than one C-OSS responsible (in case of common offer or in case of overlapping sections), the KPI figure presents the combined number of all C-OSSs concerned.

Disclaimer

- » The KPIs reflect the performance of each individual RFC, therefore, when comparing the figures of various RFCs, the specificities of each one have to be considered. Each RFC may apply any additional KPIs, which are published in their annual reports on their websites and/or in the [Customer Information Platform](#) (CIP), where applicable.
- » Please refer to the annual reports of individual RFCs for comprehensive information concerning the figures and their analysis. In addition, you can find the description of each commonly applicable KPI in the RNE '[Guidelines for Key Performance Indicators of Rail Freight Corridors](#)'.