



**Commonly applicable RFC KPIs**  
**RFC Amber**  
**December 2024**



# CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

## Volume of offered capacity – PaPs (at X-11)



<b>2024</b>	for TT 2025	<b>3.9 mio (path) km</b>
2023	for TT 2024	3.6 mio (path) km
2022	for TT 2023	4.5 mio (path) km

## Volume of requested capacity – PaPs (at X-8)






<b>2024</b>	<b>0.9 mio (path) km</b>	for TT 2025
2023	0.6 mio (path) km	for TT 2024
2022	0.8 mio (path) km	for TT 2023

*\*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*


# CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

**Number of requests – PaPs (at X-8)**  
(number of PCS dossiers)



<b>2024</b>		<b>10</b>	for TT 2025
<b>2023</b>		<b>10</b>	for TT 2024
<b>2022</b>		<b>10</b>	for TT 2023

**Number of conflicts – PaPs (at X-8)**  
(number of conflicting PCS dossiers)




<b>2024</b>		<b>2</b>	for TT 2025
<b>2023</b>		<b>5</b>	for TT 2024
<b>2022</b>		<b>3</b>	for TT 2023


*\*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

# CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

## Volume of pre-booked capacity – PaPs (at X-7.5)





## Ratio of pre-booked capacity (to the volume of capacity offered at x-11)



*\*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

# CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

## Average planned speed of PaPs (calculation per O/D pairs, km/h)



*\*This KPI should be perceived as qualitative as journey times might include commercial and operational stops.*

# CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

## Volume of offered capacity – Reserve Capacity (at X-2)



<b>TT 2025</b>		<b>4.47 mio (path) km</b>
TT 2024		4.55 mio (path) km
TT 2023		4.59 mio (path) km

*\*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

# CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

**Number of requests –  
Reserve Capacity (at X+12)**  
(number of PCS dossiers)



<b>TT 2024</b>	<b>0</b>
TT 2023	0
TT 2022	■ 2

**Volume of requested capacity –  
Reserve Capacity (at X+12)**




<b>TT 2024</b>	<b>0.00 (path) km</b>
TT 2023	0.00 mio (path) km
TT 2022	■ 0.13 mio (path) km

*\*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

# OPERATIONS


## Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)




(delay ≤ 30 minutes)



## Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)




(delay ≤ 30 minutes)








# OPERATIONS


## Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)






(delay  $\leq$  15 minutes)

<b>2023:</b>		<b>35.0%</b>
2022:		35.0%
2021:		36.0%

## Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)




(delay  $\leq$  15 minutes)

<b>2023:</b>		<b>25.0%</b>
2022:		24.0%
2021:		18.0%

# OPERATIONS



## Train Kilometers (million) of trains crossing a border along the RFC\*



\*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.

# OPERATIONS

## Number of trains crossing a border along the RFC\*



\*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation.

# OPERATIONS


## Dwell times in border sections (planned and clean/real) 2023

<b>Border</b>	<b>Avg. planned dwell (min.)</b>	<b>Avg. real dwell (min.)</b>
Hodoš - Óriszentpéter	82	151
Komárno - Komárom	87	60
Muszyna - Plaveč	46	24
Rusovce - Rajka	100	98
Slovenské Nové Mesto - Sátoraljaújhely	248	324
Čaňa - Hidasnémeti	224	438
Štúrovo - Szob	77	90

\*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.

# MARKET DEVELOPMENT

## Train kilometers of trains per border 2023




\*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.

# MARKET DEVELOPMENT

## Number of trains per border\*

	2021	2022	2023
Total PL - SK:	2,004	1,972	<b>1,337</b>
Total SK - HU:	21,880	20,301	<b>18,534</b>
Total HU - SI:	6,755	6,297	<b>6,544</b>



\*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in IMs' systems. The total sum of the figures per border does not correspond to the figure of the KPI 'Overall number of trains on the RFC' due to, among other reasons, the potential double-counting of trains crossing more than one border.

# MARKET DEVELOPMENT

## Ratio of capacity allocated by the C-OSS and the total allocated capacity\*

Location Code	Between member states		Between operational points		RFC(s) Involved	Allocated by C-OSS 2021	Allocated by C-OSS 2022	Allocated by C-OSS 2023
EU00158	Poland	Slovakia	Zwardoń	Skalité	RFC 11 Amber	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00159	Poland	Slovakia	Muszyna	Plaveč	RFC 11 Amber	40.2%	50.8%	58.6%
EU00164	Slovakia	Hungary	Slovenské Nové Mesto	Sátoraljaújhely	RFC 11 Amber	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00165	Slovakia	Hungary	Čaňa	Hidasnémeti	RFC 11 Amber	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00170	Slovakia	Hungary	Štúrovo	Szob	RFC 11 Amber	38.7%	28.6%	37.1%
EU00171	Slovakia	Hungary	Komárno	Komárom	RFC 11 Amber	13.9%	26.5%	28.3%
EU00172	Slovakia	Hungary	Rusovce	Rajka	RFC 11 Amber	0.0%	3.7%	22.6%
EU00185	Slovenia	Hungary	Hodoš	Őriszentpéter	RFC 11 Amber	7.7%	26.0%	65.0%

\*In case of border points with more than one C-OSS responsible (in case of common offer or in case of overlapping sections), the KPI figure presents the combined number of all C-OSSs concerned.

# Disclaimer

- » The KPIs reflect the performance of each individual RFC, therefore, when comparing the figures of various RFCs, the specificities of each one have to be considered. Each RFC may apply any additional KPIs, which are published in their annual reports on their websites and/or in the [Customer Information Platform](#) (CIP), where applicable.
- » Please refer to the annual reports of individual RFCs for comprehensive information concerning the figures and their analysis. In addition, you can find the description of each commonly applicable KPI in the RNE '[Guidelines for Key Performance Indicators of Rail Freight Corridors](#)'.