

RailNetEurope

Commonly applicable RFC KPIs RFC Alpine-Western Balkan MAY 2025



Volume of requested capacity – PaPs (at X-8)

 2025
 for TT 2026
 4.6 mio (path) km

 2024
 0.4 mio (path) km for TT 2025

 2023
 0.4 mio (path) km for TT 2024

*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.

Number of requests – PaPs (at X-8) (number of PCS dossiers)



Number of conflicts – PaPs (at X-8) (number of conflicting PCS dossiers)





2025	0	for TT 2026
2024	0	for TT 2025
2023	0	for TT 2024

*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.



Volume of pre-booked capacity – PaPs (at X-7.5)



Ratio of pre-booked capacity (to the volume of capacity offered at x-11)



2025	1.12 mio (path)	(M for TT 2026	2025	for TT 2026
2024	0.4 mio (path) km	for TT 2025	2024	for TT 2025
2023	0.4 mio (path) km	for TT 2024	2023	for TT 2024

*The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.



Commonly applicable RFC KPIs 3

24.3%

25.9%

25.0%

Average planned speed of PaPs (calculation per O/D pairs, km/h)





Salzburg Hbf - Ljubljana Moste (294.6) Salzburg Gnigl - Ljubljana Moste (292) Wels Hbf - Dobova (464.7) Ljubljana Zalog - Svilengrad** (1266.7) Ljubljana Zalog - Zagreb RK (139.5) Wels - Maribor Tezno (329.8) Salzburg Hbf.– Svilengrad (1750.2) Svilengrad – Jesenice (1338.5) Ljubljana Zalog – Beograd Ranžirna (557.1) Beograd Ranžirna - Svilengrad (709.7) Ljubljana Zalog - Zagreb Žitnjak (145.4) Maribor Tezno - Svilengrad (1299.6) Dugo Selo - Svilengrad (1102.6) Granitsa Ruse - Svilengrad*** (510) Granitsa Vidin - Iliantsi*** (272.3) Granitsa Ruse - Kulata*** (623.6) Iliantsi - Svilengrad*** (298.4)



*This KPI should be perceived as qualitative as journey times might include commercial and operational stops.

TT2026

TT2025

TT2024

** PaP Ljubljana Zalog - Svilengrad* - does not exist as such in TT2025. It is average speed of 2 PaPs: Ljubljana Zalog -Beograd Ranžirna & Beograd Ranžirna - Svilengrad. The timetable of these PaPs is harmonized.

***PaPs Granitsa Ruse - Svilengrad, Granitsa Vidin - Iliantsi, Granitsa Ruse - Kulata and Iliantsi – Svilengrad are handed over from RFC7 and this part is published by RFC10 due to disolvement of RFC7 on 31.03.2025. Other part of these PaPs are published by RFC9.

Disclaimer: For Operation and Market Development KPIs

The calculation method changed in 2024, and the figures are not comparable with the previous years. A new train definition was used to calculate 2024 figures.

RFC Train Definition description: An RFC train is defined as a freight train that crosses at least one international border and operates on designated RFC network routes.

To be classified as an RFC train, it must meet the following conditions:

- Be a freight train;
- Cross at least one international border;
- Operate fully or partially on an RFC network section;
- If an already identified RFC train runs 300 km or more within the network of a different RFC without crossing its border, it is still classified as an RFC train of that corridor;
- Assignment Rules for Overlapping sections of RFC Corridors:

Trains on fully overlapped sections:

All trains running on completely overlapped sections are assigned to all the corridors involved. However, the concerned RFCs
may apply additional criteria to assign a train to a single corridor based on the specific situation.

Trains running partly in overlapped sections:

- If a train crosses one border along the RFC and runs at least one section exclusively within a single RFC, it is assigned to that RFC.
- If a train operates on an overlapping section, but there is at least one corridor that can also cover the previous or following non-overlapping section, the train will be assigned to that corridor(s) only.





Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)





Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)









Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)



(delay ≤ 15 minutes) **2024: 44.0%** 2023: 42.0% 2022: 39.0%

Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)









Train Kilometers (million) of trains per RFC*



*The calculation of this KPI is based on combined data in RNE's TIS and national system. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation.





Number of trains per RFC*



*The calculation of 2022 is based on data in IMs system. The calculation of 2023 and 2024 is based on combined data in RNE's TIS and national system. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation.





Dwell times in border sections (planned and actual)

2024

Border	Avg. planned dwell (min.)	Avg. real dwell (min.)	
Dobova - Savski Marof	96	228	
Kalotina Zapad – Dimitrovgrad**	181	801	
Rosenbach - Jesenice	85	140	
Spielfeld-Straß - Šentilj	28	35	
Tovarnik – Šid**	349	508	

*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.

**Data for Border crossings Kalotina Zapad - Dimitrovgrad and Tovarnik - Šid are obtained from national IT systems



MARKET DEVELOPMENT

Train kilometers of trains per border 2024



*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.



MARKET DEVELOPMENT



*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in IMs' systems except for the figure for 2023and 2024 AT-SI is based on TIS data. The total sum of the figures per border does not correspond to the figure of the KPI 'Overall number of trains on the RFC' due to, among other reasons, the potential double-counting of trains crossing more than one border.



MARKET DEVELOPMENT

Ratio of capacity allocated by the C-OSS and the total allocated capacity*

Location Code	Between member states		Between operational points		Allocated by C-OSS 2022	Allocated by C-OSS 2023	Allocated by C-OSS 2024 (for TT2025)
EU00112	Austria	Slovenia	Rosenbach	Jesenice	4.4%	4,6%	1,6%
EU00113	Austria	Slovenia	Spielfeld-Straß	Šentilj	9.8%	7,6%(RFC5) 2,6% (RFC10) Combined 10,2%	7,5% (RFC5) 4,1% (RFC10) Combined: 11,6%
EU00211	Serbia	Bulgaria	Dimitrovgrad	Kalotina Zapad	0.0%	0,0%	0,0%
EU00216	Slovenia	Croatia	Dobova	Savski Marof	15.0%	10% (RFC6) 1,6% (RFC10) Combined 11,6%	10,2% (RFC6) 2,4% (RFC10) Combined 12,6%
EU00226	Croatia	Serbia	Tovarnik	Šid	2.0%	1,0%	1,6%

*In case of border points with more than one C-OSS responsible (in case of common offer or in case of overlapping sections), the KPI figure presents the combined number of all C-OSSs concerned.



Disclaimer

- » The KPIs reflect the performance of each individual RFC, therefore, when comparing the figures of various RFCs, the specificities of each one have to be considered. Each RFC may apply any additional KPIs, which are published in their annual reports on their websites and/or in the <u>Customer Information Platform</u> (CIP), where applicable.
- » Please refer to the annual reports of individual RFCs for comprehensive information concerning the figures and their analysis. In addition, you can find the description of each commonly applicable KPI in the RNE '<u>Guidelines for Key Performance Indicators of Rail Freight Corridors</u>'.

