

Commonly applicable RFC KPIs

RFC ScanMed

KPIs Report (June 2026)

CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT




Contents

1.	CAPACITY MANAGEMENT for TT2027 & TT2026	3
2.	OPERATION & Market and Development for 2025	10
3.	DISCLAIMER	19


CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT

01 CAPACITY MANAGEMENT for TT2027 & TT2026




Capacity Management

Volume of offered capacity – PaPs* (at X-11)




Volume of requested capacity – PaPs (at X-8)





**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

Capacity Management

Number of requests – PaPs (at X-8)
(number of PCS dossiers)



Number of conflicts – PaPs (at X-8)
(number of conflicting PCS dossiers)



Capacity Management

Volume of pre-booked capacity – PaPs (at X-7.5)




2026	for TT 2027	3.1 mio (path) km
2025	for TT 2026	3.48 mio (path) km
2024	for TT 2025	3.15 mio (path) km

Ratio of pre-booked capacity (to the volume of capacity offered at x-11)



2026	for TT 2027	21.4%
2025	for TT 2026	28.0%
2024	for TT 2025	23.4%

Average planned speed of PaPs for TT 2027 (calculation per O/D pairs)



**This KPI should be perceived as qualitative as journey times might include commercial and operational stops.*

Capacity Management


Volume of offered capacity – Reserve Capacity (at X-2)



TT 2026	■	1.17 mio (path) km
TT 2025	■	1.82 mio (path) km
TT 2024	■	1.79 mio (path) km


CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT

02 OPERATION & Market and Development for 2025



Operations


Punctuality at RFC entry



(delay ≤ 30 minutes)



Punctuality at RFC exit




(delay ≤ 30 minutes)



Operations


Punctuality at RFC entry



(delay ≤ 15 minutes)



Punctuality at RFC exit




(delay ≤ 15 minutes)



Operations

Train Kilometers (million) of trains per RFC*





*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation.

The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.

Operations


Number of trains per RFC*



*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation.


Dwell times in border sections (planned and clean) 2025

Dwell times in border sections (planned and clean) 2025



*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.


Train kilometers of trains per border 2025



*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs. Small difference between national amount of trains and TIS (Steinach in Tirol – Brennero)

Number of trains per border *

	2023	2024	2025
Kornsjø:	1,438	1,255	838
Lernacken:	6,528	6,528	5,893
Padborg/Flensburg:	9,054	8,396	7,939
Kufstein:	22,261	23,129	23,990
Brenner/Brennero:	18,551	19,797	20,952



*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in IMs' systems except of Kornsjø(2024), Lernacken(2023-2024-2025) and Padborg/Flensburg(2023) is based on TIS system. The total sum of the figures per border does not correspond to the figure of the KPI 'Number of trains per RFC' due to, among other reasons, the potential double-counting of trains crossing more than one border.


Allocated by C-OSS for TT2026

Location Code	Between member states		Between operational points		RFC(s) Involved	RFC Line Category	Allocated by C-OSS 2025 (for TT2026)
EU00032	Germany	Austria	Kiefersfelden	Kufstein	RFC 3 ScanMed	Principal	1.4%
EU00059	Denmark	Germany	Padborg	Flensburg Friedensweg	RFC 3 ScanMed	Principal	53.0%
EU00115	Austria	Italy	Steinach in Tirol	Brennero	RFC 3 ScanMed	Principal	0.9%
EU00141	Denmark	Sweden	Peberholm	Lernacken	RFC 3 ScanMed	Principal	61.7%
EU00234	Norway	Sweden	Kornsjø	Mon	RFC 3 ScanMed	Principal	0.0%

*Border-crossings involving third countries, that are not members of the RFC. Since the C-OSS does not allocate capacity for these borders, they shall be taken out from the published KPI.

03 DISCLAIMER

CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT



Disclaimer: For Operation and Market Development KPIs

The calculation method changed in 2024, and the figures are not comparable with the previous years. A new train definition was used to calculate 2024 figures.

RFC Train Definition description: An RFC train is defined as a freight train that crosses at least one international border and operates on designated RFC network routes. To be classified as an RFC train, it must meet the following conditions:

- Be a freight train;
- Cross at least one international border;
- Operate fully or partially on an RFC network section;
- If an already identified RFC train runs 300 km or more within the network of a different RFC without crossing its border, it is still classified as an RFC train of that corridor;
- Assignment Rules for Overlapping sections of RFC Corridors:

Trains on fully overlapped sections:

- All trains running on completely overlapped sections are assigned to all the corridors involved. However, the concerned RFCs may apply additional criteria to assign a train to a single corridor based on the specific situation.

Trains running partly in overlapped sections:

- If a train crosses one border along the RFC and runs at least one section exclusively within a single RFC, it is assigned to that RFC.
- If a train operates on an overlapping section, but there is at least one corridor that can also cover the previous or following non-overlapping section, the train will be assigned to that corridor(s) only.

DISCLAIMER

Disclaimer

The KPIs reflect the performance of each individual RFC, therefore, when comparing the figures of various RFCs, the specificities of each one have to be considered. Each RFC may apply any additional KPIs, which are published in their annual reports on their websites and/or in the [Customer Information Platform](#) (CIP), where applicable.

Please refer to the annual reports of individual RFCs for comprehensive information concerning the figures and their analysis. In addition, you can find the description of each commonly applicable KPI in the RNE '[Guidelines for Key Performance Indicators of Rail Freight Corridors](#)'.

Contact



Akrivi Gkampoura

Legal & Sales Manager

+43 676 971 31 79

akrivi.gkampoura@rne.eu

RailNetEurope

Austria Campus 3

Jakov-Lind-Straße 5

1020 Vienna, Austria

www.rne.eu